1 2 3 4	TASHA PARIS CHALFANT (SBN 207055) THE LAW OFFICE OF TASHA PARIS CHALFANT 5701 Lonetree Blvd., Suite 312 Rocklin, California 95765 Tel. (916) 444-6100 Fax (916) 930-6093 E-mail: tashachalfant@gmail.com		
5	Attorney for Defendant JONG EUN LEE		
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
7 8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9 LO	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 2:21-CR-0207 TLN	
L1	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND	
L2	v.	ORDER	
L3	JONG EUN LEE,))	
L4 L5	Defendant.		
L6			
L7	STIPULATION		
L8	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record Assistant United		
20	States Attorney AUDREY HEMESATH, and the Defendant, JONG EUN LEE, by and through		
21	her counsel of record TASHA PARIS CHALFANT, hereby stipulate and request that the Cour		
22	make the following findings and Order as follows:		
23	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status conference on July 14, 2022.		
24	2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to continue the status conference until		
25	September 8, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between July 14, 2022, and September 8		
27	2022, under Local Code T4. Plaintiff does not oppose this request.		
28	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF STATUS HEARING		

AND FOR EXCLUSION OF TIME

3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:

- a. The government has produced approximately 24,000 plus pages of investigative reports in electronic form, and some audio files. Additional discovery is forthcoming in the near future.
- b. Counsel for the defendant desires additional time to review the discovery, develop the case, conduct investigation, consult with her client, discuss potential resolution, and to explain the consequences and guidelines. We also require the assistance of a Korean interpreter for substantive discussions and that requires additional time since there is no one local for these services.
- c. Counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d. The government does not object to the continuance.
- e. Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of July 14, 2022, to September 8, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF STATUS HEARING

Case 2:21-cr-00207-TLN Document 39 Filed 07/12/22 Page 3 of 4

1	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that oth			
2	provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the			
3	period within which a trial must commence.			
4	All counsel has reviewed this proposed order and authorized Tasha Chalfant to sign it of			
5	their behalf.			
6	5			
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
8	Dated: July 10, 2022 by	<u>/s/Tasha Chalfant for</u> AUDREY HEMESATH		
9		Assistant U.S. Attorney		
10		Attorney for Plaintiff		
11	Dated: July 10, 2022 by	<u>/s/Tasha Chalfant</u> TASHA CHALFANT		
12	2	Attorney for Defendant		
13	3	JONG EUN LEE		
14				
15	5			
16	5			
17	7			
18	3			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23	3			
24				
25	5			
26	5			
27	7			
28	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR C	CONTINUANCE OF STATUS HEARING		

ORDER

The Court, having received, read, and considered the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefrom, adopts the stipulation of the parties in its entirety as its order. Based on the stipulation of the parties and the recitation of facts contained therein, the Court finds that the failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court finds that the ends of justice to be served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

The Court orders that the time from the date of the parties' stipulation, July 14, 2022, to and including September 8, 2022, status conference hearing date shall be excluded from computation of time within which the trial of this case must be commenced under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to 18 U.S.C §3161(h)(7)(A) and (B) (iv), and Local Code T4 (reasonable time for defense counsel to prepare). It is further ordered that the presently set July 14, 2022, status conference shall be continued to September 8, 2022, at 9:30 a.m.

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 11th day of July, 2022.

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge